



PRIVATE TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2025

United Bankers Ltd

Generated 24-11-2025

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The private Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices. Signatories can also choose to make these available to clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This private Transparency Report is an export of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2025 reporting period. It includes all responses (public and private) to core and plus indicators.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised your responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options that you selected are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2025 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)	7
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	9
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	32
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	62
REAL ESTATE (RE)	69
SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)	80
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	87

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

The primary objective of investment management activities at United Bankers is to achieve – in a responsible manner – the best possible return at the level of risk chosen by its clients, both in the long and in the short term. As part of this objective, we consider how environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors affect companies and securities we invest in, and what kind of environmental and social impacts our investments have. We believe that focusing on sustainability will have a positive effect on investment returns, and that offering an increasingly sustainability-focused product portfolio will add value to both our customers and United Bankers' shareholders. Integrating the responsible investment and sustainability aspects into United Bankers' products and services is, in our view, an integral part of the group's overall risk management.

Our aim is to reduce the negative impacts of our investments and to increase the positive impact of our investments in the long term — these goals have been further detailed in United Bankers' sustainability framework and program, as well as in the United Bankers' climate roadmap. In line with the climate roadmap, United Bankers has committed to setting science-based emission reduction targets in line with the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) by end of 2025, and reducing the greenhouse gas emissions of investment portfolios while increasing their carbon sequestration. In 2024, we conducted a preparatory study for the climate roadmap of our real estate funds, supporting the future SBTi commitment. We have integrated the responsible investment into all our investment activities. These considerations are taken into account in the portfolio management of investment funds, discretionary asset management, as well as other investment solutions we provide.

United Bankers' Principles for Responsible Investment summarize our approach to responsible investment and our commitments. These principles are approved by United Bankers' Board of Directors and updated regularly. United Bankers is committed to respecting human rights in line with the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and reporting on climate risks based on the TCFD framework. As a PRI signatory since 2012, United Bankers also strives to promote responsible investment in our networks and with our stakeholders. United Bankers continues to participate actively in the Sustainability Committee of Finance Finland and in the Finnish Venture Capital Association. United Bankers is also a member of Sustainable Investment Finland and participates in joint stewardship initiatives such as the CDP Non-Disclosure Campaign.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In 2024, United Bankers advanced responsible investment in several ways. **Strategy and Principles:** In 2024, United Bankers conducted a double materiality analysis as required by the EU's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD). The results of the analysis will be used to guide the continuous development of the company's sustainability efforts. Internal sustainability risk management guidelines were refined, especially regarding the identification and management of climate-related risks and the prevention of greenwashing. United Bankers continued to implement its climate roadmap and conducted a preparatory study for the climate roadmap of its real estate funds to support the setting of Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) aligned climate goals by end of 2025. The company also continued integrating human rights and climate risk considerations into its overall operations and investment activities. In addition, United Bankers' sustainability expectations for partners and service providers were reinforced through the Supplier Code of Conduct introduced in 2023. In 2024, United Bankers published its updated ownership steering policy, outlining how ownership steering is applied across the funds managed by United Bankers Asset Management Ltd and United Bankers Fund Management Company Ltd. For the first time, responsible investment practices were also communicated through a dedicated ownership steering policy implementation report, which included proxy voting results of UB funds. **Organisation and Reporting:** United Bankers continued to enhance its sustainability governance and reporting. In addition to the sustainability section published as part of the annual report, the company released a separate corporate responsibility report for 2024, which informally follows the structure of the EU sustainability reporting standards. United Bankers also published its sustainability focus areas, which were identified through the double materiality assessment. These focus areas guide the company's ESG targets and metrics, which are monitored in United Bankers' sustainability reporting. **ESG Data and Risk Management:** United Bankers continued using ESG data sources such as Sustainalytics, further integrating them into portfolio management processes. The company also improved transparency in ESG reporting by continuing to publish quarterly ESG reports for all United Bankers listed investment funds and SFDR Article 8 wealth management products. **Stewardship and Engagement:** United Bankers promoted sustainability within its investee companies through active ownership, proxy voting, and investor initiatives. Proxy voting is carried out based on the ISS International Sustainability Voting Guidelines. United Bankers joined the PRI Spring initiative in 2024, aimed at encouraging companies to take action to halt biodiversity loss. **Product Development:** The responsible investment emphasis in United Bankers' investment offering remained strong. All 25 investment funds were classified under SFDR Article 9 and 8 respectively. In 2024, two new investment products were launched:

- UB Nordic Forest Fund IV, which began its investment activities and focuses on sustainable forestry primarily in the Baltic Sea region.
- UB Asuntorahasto I Ky, a new residential real estate fund that invests in housing in Finnish growth centers.

Stakeholders and Recognition: Customer satisfaction remained at a very high level in 2024 and further improved from the previous year among both private and institutional clients. United Bankers also received excellent ratings in the PRI's 2024 assessment of responsible investment practices, demonstrating continued progress. The company's reputation was assessed to be at a moderate level in the Luottamus & Maine (Trust & Reputation) survey.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

We will continue to improve our performance in responsible investment and sustainability. ESG key performance indicators are now integrated into the remuneration systems for portfolio managers and top management. We aim to further strengthen transparency in ESG reporting, improve selected ESG ratings, and increase ESG awareness throughout the organisation. We are committed to applying strict ESG criteria to all new product launches, including requirements related to the SFDR and EU Taxonomy, where applicable. We will also continue to work closely with key partners and service providers to support the achievement of our ESG targets. In line with our climate roadmap, we will set science-based climate targets in accordance with the SBTi by end of 2025.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

John Ojanperä

Position

CEO

Organisation's Name

United Bankers Ltd

A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

B

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

During the reporting year, to which international or regional ESG-related legislation(s) and/or regulation(s) did your organisation report?

- (A) Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) [European Union]
- (B) Directive on AIFM (2011/61/EU) [European Union]
- (C) Enhancing climate-related disclosures by asset managers, life insurers and FCA-regulated pension providers (PS21/24) [United Kingdom]
- (D) EU Taxonomy Regulation [European Union]
- (E) Improving shareholder engagement and increasing transparency around stewardship (PS19/13) [United Kingdom]
- (F) IORP II (Directive 2016/2341) [European Union]
- (G) Law on Energy and Climate (Article 29) [France]
- (H) MiFID II (2017/565) [European Union]
- (I) Modern Slavery Act [United Kingdom]
- (J) PEPP Regulation (2019/1238) [European Union]
- (K) PRIIPS Regulation (2016/2340 and 2014/286) [European Union]
- (L) Regulation on the Integration of Sustainability Risks in the Governance of Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings (2021/1256) [European Union]
- (M) SFDR Regulation (2019/2088) [European Union]
- (N) SRD II (Directive 2017/828) [European Union]
- (O) The Occupational Pension Schemes Regulation on Climate Change Governance and Reporting [United Kingdom]
- (P) Climate Risk Management (Guideline B-15) [Canada]
- (Q) Continuous Disclosure Obligations (National Instrument 51-102) [Canada]
- (R) Disposiciones de Carácter General Aplicables a los Fondos de Inversión y a las Personas que les Prestan Servicios (SIEFORE) [Mexico]
- (S) Instrucciones para la Integración de Dactores ASG en Los Mecanismos de Revelación de Información para FIC (External Circular 005, updated) [Colombia]
- (T) Provides for the creation, operation, and disclosure of information of investment funds, as well as the provision of services for the funds, and revokes the regulations that specifies (CVM Resolution No. 175) [Brazil]
- (U) SEC Expansion of the Names Rule [United States of America]
- (V) SEC Pay Ratio Disclosure Rule [United States of America]
- (W) ASIC RG65 Section 1013DA Disclosure Guidelines [Australia]
- (X) Circular to Licensed Corporations: Management and Disclosure of Climate-related Risks by Fund Managers [Hong Kong SAR]
- (Y) Financial Investment Services and Capital Markets Act (FSCMA) [Republic of Korea]
- (Z) Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA) [Japan]
- (AA) Financial Markets Conduct Act [New Zealand]
- (AB) Guiding Opinions on Regulating the Asset Management Business of Financial Institutions [China]
- (AC) Guidelines on Environmental Risk Management for Asset Managers [Singapore]
- (AD) Guidelines on Sustainable and Responsible Investment Funds [Malaysia]
- (AE) Modern Slavery Act (2018) [Australia]

- (AF) Stewardship Code for all Mutual Funds and All Categories of AIFs [India]
- (AG) ADGM Sustainable Finance Regulatory Framework [United Arab Emirates]
- (AH) JSE Limited Listings Requirements [South Africa]
- (AI) Other
- (AJ) Other
- (AK) Other
- (AL) Other
- (AM) Other
- (AN) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any ESG-related legislation and/or regulation during the reporting year.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

During the reporting year, to which voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks did your organisation report?

- (A) Asset Owners Stewardship Code [Australia]
- (B) Código Brasileiro de Stewardship [Brazil]
- (C) New Zealand Stewardship Code
- (D) Principles for Responsible Institutional Investors (Stewardship Code) [Japan]
- (E) Stewardship Code [United Kingdom]
- (F) Stewardship Framework for Institutional Investors [United States of America]
- (G) CFA Institute ESG Disclosure Standards for Investment Products [Global]
- (H) Guidelines on Funds' Names using ESG or Sustainability-related Terms [European Union]
- (I) Luxflag ESG Label [Luxembourg]
- (J) RIAA Responsible Investment Certification Program [Australia]
- (K) SRI Label [France]
- (L) ANBIMA Code of Regulation and Best Practices of Investment Funds [Brazil]
- (M) Code for Institutional Investors 2022 [Malaysia]
- (N) Code for Responsible Investing in South Africa (CRISA 2) [South Africa]
- (O) Corporate Governance Guidelines [Canada]
- (P) Defined Contribution Code of Practice [United Kingdom]
- (Q) European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV) Guidelines [Global]
- (R) Global ESG Benchmark for Real Assets (GRESB) [Global]
- (S) Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) Impact Reporting and Investment Standards (IRIS+) [Global]
- (T) OECD Guidelines for MNES - Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors [Global]
- (U) UN Guiding Principles (UNGPs) on Business and Human Rights [Global]
- (V) Net Zero Asset Managers (NZAM) Initiative [Global]
- (W) Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA) [Global]
- (X) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosure (TCFD) [Global]
- (Y) The Net Zero Investment Framework (NZIF) 2.0 [Global]
- (Z) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Nature-related Financial Disclosure (TNFD) [Global]
- (AA) Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards [Global]
- (AB) IFC Performance Standard [Global]
- (AC) International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) Standards [Global]
- (AD) Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Standards [Global]
- (AE) Other
- (AF) Other
- (AG) Other
- (AH) Other
- (AI) Other
- (AJ) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks during the reporting year.

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2024

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.1	CORE	OO 2	OO 2.2	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Are any of your organisation's subsidiaries PRI signatories in their own right?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries not part of row (B), and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 5,429,976,000.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	35.3%	5.6%
(B) Fixed income	8.7%	8.5%
(C) Private equity	2.3%	0.7%
(D) Real estate	13.5%	2.1%
(E) Infrastructure	1.4%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	15.2%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	6.7%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

(I) Other - (2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM - Specify:

Cash and similar

ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	99%	0%	0%	0%	5%
(B) Passive	1%	0%	95%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(A) Listed equity - active	0%	100%
(B) Listed equity - passive	0%	100%
(C) Fixed income - active	0%	100%
(D) Fixed income - passive	0%	100%
(E) Private equity	0%	100%
(F) Real estate	0%	100%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 LE	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.

(A) Passive equity	0%
(B) Active – quantitative	5%
(C) Active – fundamental	95%
(D) Other strategies	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA	0%
(B) Passive – corporate	15%
(C) Active – SSA	0%
(D) Active – corporate	65%
(E) Securitised	10%
(F) Private debt	10%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 PE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.

(A) Venture capital	0%
(B) Growth capital	100%
(C) (Leveraged) buy-out	0%
(D) Distressed, turnaround or special situations	0%
(E) Secondaries	0%
(F) Other	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED REAL ESTATE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 RE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Internally managed real estate	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed real estate AUM.

(A) Retail	21.1%
(B) Office	34.8%
(C) Industrial	29.6%
(D) Residential	6.6%
(E) Hotel	1.3%

(F) Lodging, leisure and recreation 0%

(G) Education 1.1%

(H) Technology or science 0%

(I) Healthcare 2.2%

(J) Mixed use 1.1%

(K) Other 2.2%

(K) Other - Specify:

Miscellaneous, plots of land

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED INFRASTRUCTURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 INF	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Internally managed infrastructure	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed infrastructure AUM.

(A) Data infrastructure 0%

(B) Diversified 0%

(C) Energy and water resources 0%

(D) Environmental services 0%

(E) Network utilities 0%

(F) Power generation (excl.
renewables) 0%

(G) Renewable power 36%

(H) Social infrastructure 0%

(I) Transport 0%

(J) Other 64%

(J) Other - Specify:

Corporate bonds, cash & equivalents, government related.

MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PRIVATE	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

75%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity (2) >0 to 10%

(C) Fixed income – corporate (2) >0 to 10%

(D) Fixed income – securitised (1) 0%

(E) Fixed income – private debt (1) 0%

(F) Private equity (2) >0 to 10%

(G) Real estate (1) 0%

(H) Infrastructure (1) 0%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL
Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?						
		(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive	(3) Fixed income - active	(4) Fixed income - passive	(5) Private equity
(A) Yes, through internal staff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
(B) Yes, through service providers		<input type="checkbox"/>				
(C) Yes, through external managers		<input type="checkbox"/>				
(D) We do not conduct stewardship		<input type="radio"/>				
		(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure	(9) Forestry	(11) Other	
(A) Yes, through internal staff		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, through service providers		<input type="checkbox"/>				
(C) Yes, through external managers		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct stewardship		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive
(A) Yes, through internal staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Yes, through service providers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(C) Yes, through external managers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active	(10) >80 to 90%
(B) Listed equity - passive	(9) >70 to 80%

STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting
(K) Other

This asset class mainly includes cash, so voting has not been considered relevant.

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNAL MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(B) Listed equity - active - quantitative



(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental



(F) Fixed income - corporate



(G) Fixed income - securitised



(H) Fixed income - private debt



(I) Private equity



(J) Real estate



(K) Infrastructure

(T) Forestry

EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when selecting external investment managers?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers

(A) Listed equity - active

(B) Listed equity - passive

(C) Fixed income - active

(D) Fixed income - passive

(E) Private equity

(F) Real estate

(K) Other: Cash and similar

EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1
<p>For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when appointing external investment managers?</p>						
(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers				(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers		
(A) Listed equity - active		<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>	
(B) Listed equity - passive		<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>	
(C) Fixed income - active		<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>	
(D) Fixed income - passive		<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>	
(E) Private equity		<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>	
(F) Real estate		<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>	
(K) Other: Cash and similar		<input type="radio"/>			<input checked="" type="radio"/>	

EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1
<p>For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when monitoring external investment managers?</p>						
(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers				(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers		
(A) Listed equity - active			<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>
(B) Listed equity - passive			<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>
(C) Fixed income - active			<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>
(D) Fixed income - passive			<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>
(E) Private equity			<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>
(F) Real estate			<input checked="" type="radio"/>			<input type="radio"/>
(K) Other: Cash and similar			<input type="radio"/>			<input checked="" type="radio"/>

ESG IN OTHER ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 15	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

Describe how your organisation incorporates ESG factors into the following asset classes.

Internally managed
(A) Forestry

The UB forest funds focusing on forestry make sustainable investments. The objective of the funds is to mitigate climate change through sustainable forestry. Additionally, the timber produced in the fund's forests can also facilitate transition to a circular economy. The funds invests in timberland and endeavor through timberland investments to absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere into tree growth, as well as in sustainable timber products. Furthermore, the use of the timber grown in the forests of the funds can partially replace fossil fuels and fossil-intensive materials. The objective is to manage the forests as carbon sinks over long term. Sustainable forestry is an effective solution for the removal of carbon from the atmosphere and a vital means for attaining the goals set in the Paris Agreement. The forest management practices of the funds are designed based on 30 year GHG and carbon balance models to ensure carbon capture beyond conventional forest management practices.

The strategy of the funds supports climate change mitigation and promotes several of the UN sustainable development goals, particularly goal 13: Climate action, goal 15: Life on land and goal 9: Industry, innovation and infrastructure. In addition the fund may develop and zone forest properties, utilise the soil materials of the forest properties, as well as their potential recreational values. The attainment of the sustainability goals of the funds is monitored through regular carbon balance accounting, and the results are included in the periodical reporting of each fund. The forest management practices of the fund are designed based on 30 year GHG and carbon balance models to ensure carbon capture beyond conventional forest management practices.

The GHG balance calculation, modelling and reporting are conducted in accordance with best international practices that are constantly evolving. The funds report annually, *inter alia*, the size of the fund's carbon sink, the surface area of FSC and PEFC-certified forests, and areas excluded from economic use, the areas set-aside for different types of forest conservation, and various biodiversity indicators. In addition, the funds monitor and report on biodiversity indicators such as share of broad-leaved trees in the fund's forest.

ESG NOT INCORPORATED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 16	CORE	OO 11, OO 12–14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG not incorporated	1

Describe why your organisation does not currently incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions and/or in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers.

Externally managed
(Z) Other

"Other" mainly includes cash, so ESG incorporation has not been considered material.

ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 LE	CORE	OO 11	OO 17.1 LE, LE 12	PRIVATE	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

(A) Screening alone	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%
(D) Screening and integration	100%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 LE	CORE	OO 17 LE	LE 9	PRIVATE	Listed equity	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	0%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	100%

FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

(2) Fixed income - corporate **(3) Fixed income - securitised**

(A) Screening alone	0%	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%	0%
(D) Screening and integration	100%	100%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%	0%

(G) All three approaches combined	0%	0%
(H) None	0%	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 FI	CORE	OO 17 FI	N/A	PRIVATE	Fixed income	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?

	(2) Fixed income - corporate	(3) Fixed income - securitised
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%	0%
(B) Negative screening only	0%	0%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	100%	100%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PRIVATE	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable

Provide the percentage of total AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

52%

- (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

The figure includes SFDR 8 and SFDR 9 labelled UB funds and asset management products. All internally managed UB funds are labelled SFDR 8 or SFDR 9. All UB 360 asset management strategies are labelled SFDR 8, except one. Thus, the vast majority of non-green products are externally managed.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PRIVATE	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

Ⓐ (A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications

Provide the percentage of total AUM that your labelled and/or certified products and/or funds represent:

52%

○ (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

The figure includes SFDR 8 and SFDR 9 labelled UB funds and asset management products. All internally managed UB funds are labelled SFDR 8 or SFDR 9. All UB 360 asset management strategies are labelled SFDR 8, except one.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.2	CORE	OO 18.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Which ESG/RI certifications or labels do you hold?

- (A) Commodity type label (e.g. BCI)
- (B) GRESB
- (C) Austrian Ecolabel (UZ49)
- (D) B Corporation
- (E) BREEAM
- (F) CBI Climate Bonds Standard
- (G) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Strategie
- (H) DDV-Nachhaltigkeitskodex-ESG-Impact
- (I) EU Ecolabel
- (J) EU Green Bond Standard
- (K) Febelfin label (Belgium)
- (L) Finansol
- (M) FNG-Siegel Ecolabel (Germany, Austria and Switzerland)
- (N) Greenfin label (France)
- (O) Grüner Pfandbrief
- (P) ICMA Green Bond Principles
- (Q) ICMA Social Bonds Principles
- (R) ICMA Sustainability Bonds Principles
- (S) ICMA Sustainability-linked Bonds Principles
- (T) Kein Verstoß gegen Atomwaffensperrvertrag
- (U) Le label ISR (French government SRI label)
- (V) Luxflag Climate Finance
- (W) Luxflag Environment
- (X) Luxflag ESG
- (Y) Luxflag Green Bond
- (Z) Luxflag Microfinance
- (AA) Luxflag Sustainable Insurance Products
- (AB) National stewardship code

- (AC) Nordic Swan Ecolabel
- (AD) Other SRI label based on EUROSIF SRI Transparency Code (e.g. Novethic)
- (AE) People's Bank of China green bond guidelines
- (AF) RIAA (Australia)
- (AG) Towards Sustainability label (Belgium)
- (AH) Other

Specify:

Morningstar Globes Sustainability Rating is given to 15 of our 16 listed equity and fixed income funds Morningstar Low Carbon Designation was given to 9 of our 16 listed equity and fixed income funds Our two real estate alternative investment funds have GRESB ratings, and include BREEM certified real estate UB forest funds certify their forests to Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) sustainable forest management certifications

PASSIVE INVESTMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	LE 8, FI 10	PRIVATE	Passive investments	1

What percentage of your total internally managed passive listed equity and/or fixed income passive AUM utilise an ESG index or benchmark?

Percentage of AUM that utilise an ESG index or benchmark

(B) Fixed income - passive 100%

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	●	○	○
Confidence Building Measures	●	○	○
(B) Listed equity – active – quantitative	●	○	○
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	●	○	○
(F) Fixed income – corporate	○	○	●
(G) Fixed income – securitised	○	○	●
(H) Fixed income – private debt	○	○	●
(I) Private equity	○	○	●
(J) Real estate	●	○	○
(K) Infrastructure	○	○	●
(T) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - active	○	○	●

(U) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - passive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(V) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income - active	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(W) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income - passive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(X) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – private equity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(Y) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – real estate	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

REAL ESTATE: BUILDING TYPE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 24	CORE	OO 21	RE 1, RE 9 - 10	PUBLIC	Real estate: Building type	GENERAL

What is the building type of your physical real estate assets?

- (A) Standing investments
- (B) New construction
- (C) Major renovation

REAL ESTATE: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 25	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Real estate: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your physical real estate assets by the level of ownership?

- (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)

Select from the list:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%

(4) >75%

(B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)

Select from the list:

(1) >0 to 10%

(2) >10 to 50%

(C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)

Select from the list:

(1) >0 to 10%

(2) >10 to 50%

REAL ESTATE: MANAGEMENT TYPE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 26	CORE	OO 21	RE 1, RE 6–8, RE 13	PUBLIC	Real estate: Management type	GENERAL

Who manages your physical real estate assets?

(A) Direct management by our organisation

(B) Third-party property managers that our organisation appoints

(C) Other investors or their third-party property managers

(D) Tenant(s) with operational control

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

(A) Publish as absolute numbers

(B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/kvuku0bq/ownership-policy-05012024.pdf>

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders

Add link:

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/kvuku0bq/ownership-policy-05012024.pdf>

(O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting

Add link:

<https://www.issgovernance.com/file/policy/active/specialty/Sustainability-International-Voting-Guidelines.pdf>

- (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- (A) Overall stewardship objectives**
- (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities**
- (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation**
- (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship**
- (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship**
- (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- (I) Other
- (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors**
- (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors**
- (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors**
- (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme**

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment
 (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
 (C) Guidelines on social factors
 (D) Guidelines on governance factors

(6) >90% to <100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change
 (2) for a majority of our AUM

(B) Specific guidelines on human rights
 (2) for a majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

(A) Listed equity

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

(B) Fixed income

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

(C) Private equity

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

(D) Real estate

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%

- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

(E) Infrastructure

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

(G) Forestry

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

(A) Actively managed listed equity

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

(B) Passively managed listed equity

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%

- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

(A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
 (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent

Specify:

United Bankers' Executive Management Team ("EMT") manages the day-to-day administration of all companies of the Group. A person responsible for sustainability (CEO) has been appointed to the EMT, and the Head of ESG reports to this individual. The EMT ensures that the principles and regulations governing sustainability are integrated into the policies and processes across the Group. The EMT regularly reports to the Board of Directors on topical responsible investment issues.

(C) Investment committee, or equivalent

Specify:

United Bankers' Sustainability Steering Group, chaired by the Head of ESG, steers and manages the Group's ongoing sustainability and responsible investment work. The Steering Group regularly reports to the EMT and is supported by the ESG Team comprised of internal subject matter experts.

(D) Head of department, or equivalent

Specify department:

United Bankers' Head of ESG is responsible for the overall sustainability and responsible investment development work within the Group. Head of ESG is part of the Extended Management Team.

○ (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2
Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?						
(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent			(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent			
(A) Overall approach to responsible investment		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(H) Guidelines on exclusions		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)

○

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

● (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

(A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

CEO, Head of ESG, ESG Manager/Analyst, Portfolio Managers, Head of Compliance

(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers

Specify:

Portfolio Managers

- (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

● (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Describe: (Voluntary)

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

◉ (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

◉ (1) KPIs are linked to compensation

- (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

○ (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

(1) Board members, trustees or equivalent

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent

(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation

(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights

(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues

(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- (E) Climate-related commitments
- (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- (G) Human rights-related commitments
- (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- (A) Yes, including governance-related recommended disclosures
- (B) Yes, including strategy-related recommended disclosures
- (C) Yes, including risk management-related recommended disclosures
- (D) Yes, including applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above

Add link(s):

https://unitedbankers.fi/media/b0mn4qj5/united-bankers_sustainability-report-2024.pdf
<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 18	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

(A) Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)

Link to example of public disclosures

https://www.unitedbankers.se/media/ncqpeybk/ub-timberland-periodic-disclosure_eng_2024_.pdf

- (B) Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy
- (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard
- (D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed all of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

(B) Yes, we publicly disclosed some of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

<https://www.finanssiala.fi/finanssiala-ry-jasenluettelo/#rahoitusyhtio>
<https://unitedbankers.fi/fi/vastuullisuus/sitoumukset-ja-linjausket/>

- (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (D) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

- (B) We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

- (C) We incorporate human rights-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

- (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2
For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?						
		(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure (7) Forestry
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.		<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- (C) Other
- (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

(A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes

Select from the below list:

- (1) in all cases
- (2) in a majority of cases
- (3) in a minority of cases**

(B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

(C) We ensure consistency with our voting policy by reviewing external service providers' voting recommendations only after voting has been executed

(D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations

(E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

(A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items

(B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting

(C) Other

(D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes

(E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

(A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment

(B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal

(C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure

(D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default

(E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes

Add link(s):

https://unitedbankers.fi/media/plcbxzgg/united_bankers_proxy_voting_2024_03-17-2025-05-38-42-679.pdf
<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/1pzovzne/omistajaohjauksen-periaatteiden-toteutuminen-2024.pdf>

- (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33.1	CORE	PGS 33	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?

- (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations

(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale

(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company

(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information



(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year



STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9 HF, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(1) Listed equity

(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one

(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal

(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter

(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors

(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director

(F) Divesting

(G) Litigation

(H) Other

(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

- (A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one
- (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- (C) Not investing
- (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- (E) Divesting
- (F) Litigation
- (G) Other
- (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- (B) We responded to policy consultations
- (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

Describe:

As a member of Finance Finland, our positions were disclosed as part of Finance Finland's positions regarding sustainability and sustainable finance regulation: <https://www.finanssiala.fi/en/Finanssiala>

- (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
- (E) Other methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
- (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
- (C) **No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year**

Explain why:

As a member of Finance Finland, our positions were disclosed as part of Finance Finland's positions regarding sustainability and sustainable finance regulation: <https://www.finanssiala.fi/en/Finanssiala>

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

- (A) **Yes, within our standard planning horizon**

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

Please see key climate risks and opportunities listed for each key asset class below:

Listed Equity: limited physical and transition risks due to high level of portfolio diversification; increased market demand for low carbon intensity portfolios (opportunities)

Fixed Income: limited physical and transition risks due to high level of portfolio diversification; increased market demand for low carbon intensity portfolios (opportunities)

Private equity: limited physical and transition risks identified; increased market demand for portfolios mitigating climate change (opportunities)

Real Estate: flooding, hail (acute physical risks); fire weather stress, rising sea levels (chronic physical risks); regulatory changes, increased energy efficiency requirements, energy pricing (transition risks); increased market demand for sustainable properties and on-site renewable energy production (opportunities)

Forestry: heat stress, forest fires, flooding (acute physical risks); changes in biodiversity (chronic physical risks); increased demand for sustainably managed forests (opportunities)

United Bankers' standard planning horizon is c. 5-10 years, which is also in line with average investment holding periods.

- (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon
- (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

● (A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

The risks and opportunities presented by climate change have a significant impact on United Bankers' investment activities and sustainability work. The United Bankers Board of Directors and Executive Committee have made climate change and the green transition a priority across the Group for both strategic and humane reasons. At the Board level, climate issues are regularly addressed as part of the Group's broader sustainability work. The Board of Directors also approves the United Bankers Responsible Investment Principles, which guide investment activities by defining responsible investment policies and practices. The Head of ESG of United Bankers is part of the extended executive team that meets several times a year.

Working to mitigate climate change is one of the focus areas of United Bankers' business. At United Bankers, the most significant climate risks and opportunities relate to investment activities, as the direct environmental impact of the Group's own operations is limited. In 2022, the majority (99.6%) of the Group's total carbon footprint was made up of the carbon footprint of the investments in the products offered by the group (Scope 3, Category 15). This highlights the importance of climate work in asset management. United Bankers' process to identify climate-related risks and opportunities in the short, medium, and long term was launched in late 2022, with the aim of identifying the most significant climate drivers in each asset class to ensure regular monitoring. United Bankers reports on climate risk indicators of daily valued equity and fixed income funds, as well as the funds' exposure to physical climate risks.

Climate work at United Bankers focuses on the continuous development of investment products and their strategies to consider climate-related risks and opportunities. Climate metrics are reviewed throughout the investment period, from the investment decision to the sale of the investment. These metrics include the carbon intensity of the investment and the carbon risk of the investment as defined by the TCFD, which describes the investment's transition risk. In addition, United Bankers' Principles for Responsible Investment define climate-based exclusion criteria for activities such as coal production, its use in energy generation, and oil sands extraction. Active lobbying and voting on climate-related issues at shareholder meetings are also an important part of daily portfolio management. Climate opportunities are an important part of United Bankers' product development and investment strategy formulation.

Climate risks are identified and assessed as part of United Bankers' daily portfolio and risk management. Responsibilities are divided so that the risk analysis of individual investments is primarily the responsibility of portfolio management, while the management of the aggregate climate risk of United Bankers' products is the responsibility of the responsible investment team and the Sustainability Steering Group. The Risk management function integrates climate risks into the risk management of the Group as a whole. The Board of Directors is responsible for the direction of the company's climate strategy. The risk analysis utilizes company-level data from several independent ESG data sources, including Morningstar, Sustainalytics, and Bloomberg. In addition, United Bankers actively monitors the setting and achievement of climate targets through CDP and other similar databases.

In 2023, United Bankers published its climate roadmap, and committed to setting climate targets in accordance with the Science Based Targets initiative. United Bankers aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from investments and increasing carbon sequestration so that the investments' carbon sequestration exceeds emissions by 2030.

United Bankers' objective is to keep the climate risks of the entire Group at a level that does not result in significant negative impacts. Methodologies and metrics for assessing climate risk vary by asset class and require further development. United Bankers aims to proactively identify the climate risks that are relevant to its operations and to identify opportunities related to the green transition.

○ (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?

(A) Coal

Describe your strategy:

United Bankers avoids investing in companies, where a minimum of 25 per cent of the company's revenues come from the use of thermal coal in energy production or oil sands extraction. United Bankers may deviate from such exclusion if the company has a clear plan for altering its operations.

(B) Gas

(C) Oil

Describe your strategy:

United Bankers avoids investing in companies, where a minimum of 25 per cent of the company's revenues come from the use of thermal coal in energy production or oil sands extraction. United Bankers may deviate from such exclusion if the company has a clear plan for altering its operations.

(D) Utilities

(E) Cement

(F) Steel

(G) Aviation

(H) Heavy duty road

(I) Light duty road

(J) Shipping

(K) Aluminium

(L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery

(M) Chemicals

(N) Construction and buildings

(O) Textile and leather

(P) Water

(Q) Other

(R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Provide a link(s) to your strategy(ies), if available

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/content/document/vastuullisen-sijoittamisen-periaatteet?lang=en>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

(A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)

(B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario

(C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario

(D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

Using for example SSP 1–2.6 and SSP5–8.5) scenarios or using RCP 8.5 scenario, depending on the asset class.

- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

For fixed income and equity funds, at the heart of the climate risk analysis are carbon intensity and carbon risk indicators, which allow portfolio managers and the ESG team to quickly understand the relative greenhouse gas emissions of a company and its exposure to transition risk. If the indicators indicate a high level of climate risk, the next step in the analysis is to examine the current status of and progress towards the company's science-based climate targets. The analysis will also include, where appropriate, a comparison of the climate risk of the investee within a relevant reference group, as climate risks depend on the company's industry and geographical location. The analysis of physical climate risks was introduced in 2023 as part of the analysis of fixed income and equity funds. The risk analysis utilizes company-level data from several independent ESG data sources, including Morningstar, Sustainalytics, and Bloomberg. In addition, United Bankers actively monitors the setting and achievement of climate targets through CDP and other similar databases.

The climate risk analysis of real estate funds focuses on physical climate risks,. As United Bankers' real estate funds invest in all Nordic countries except Iceland, the average climate risks are significantly lower than in Central Europe and the Americas, for example. In terms of regional risks, flood risk affects some properties in coastal areas. According to estimates, one property of all properties is considered to be at medium-level risk of flooding. In terms of climate risk, properties are categorized as low, medium, or high risk. United Bankers works to keep the climate risk of its property portfolios low. In 2024, further efforts have already been made to identify and assess both physical and transition risks. The GRESB international real estate sustainability assessment, which includes several climate risk indicators, is also used to assess real estate investments.

In forest funds, the management of physical climate risks is strongly linked to the management of the financial risks of the fund, as the forest holdings in which forest funds invest are directly exposed to both acute and chronic physical risks. In 2024, further analysis has been carried out on the physical climate risks of United Bankers' forest funds.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Climate risks are identified and assessed as part of United Bankers' daily portfolio and risk management. Responsibilities are divided so that the risk analysis of individual investments is primarily the responsibility of portfolio management, while the management of the aggregate climate risk of United Bankers' products is the responsibility of the responsible investment team and the Sustainability Steering Group. The Risk management function integrates climate risks into the risk management of the Group as a whole. The Board of Directors is responsible for the direction of the company's climate strategy.

(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

○ (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

(A) Exposure to physical risk

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used

● (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

- (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

(B) Exposure to transition risk

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used

- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

● (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

(C) Internal carbon price

(D) Total carbon emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used

- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

● (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

(E) Weighted average carbon intensity

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used

- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

● (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

(F) Avoided emissions

(G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)

(H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals

(I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities

(J) Other metrics or variables

Specify:

Carbon sinks and carbon balance of UB forestry funds in tCO2e/year and in absolute tCO2e.

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used

- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

● (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/api/products/file?lang=fi&id=69d069b5-fec3-ed11-bae0-005056ab348e>

- (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

(A) Scope 1 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

(2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

(B) Scope 2 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

(2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

(2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://unitedbankers.fi/media/gqqjprf2/corporate-responsibility-report-2024.pdf>

- (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

(A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) The EU Taxonomy
- (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- (J) Other international framework(s)

Specify:

Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

- (K) Other regional framework(s)
- (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)

Specify:

Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) sustainable forestry certifications and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) sustainable forestry certifications

- (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- (G) Other method
- (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48.1	PLUS	PGS 48	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Why has your organisation taken action on specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes is relevant to our financial risks and returns over both short- and long-term horizons
- (B) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes, although not yet relevant to our financial risks and returns, will become so over a long-time horizon
- (C) We have been requested to do so by our clients and/or beneficiaries
- (D) We want to prepare for and respond to legal and regulatory developments that are increasingly addressing sustainability outcomes
- (E) We want to protect our reputation, particularly in the event of negative sustainability outcomes connected to investments
- (F) We want to enhance our social licence-to-operate (i.e. the trust of beneficiaries, clients, and other stakeholders)
- (G) We believe that taking action on sustainability outcomes in parallel to financial return goals has merit in its own right
- (H) Other

HUMAN RIGHTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49	PLUS	PGS 47	PGS 49.1	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take to identify and take action on the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

- (A) We assessed the country level context of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes

Explain how these activities were conducted:

We included assessments of human rights risks into the due diligence processes for our investments, and into the monitoring of our existing investments. The methodologies differ for different asset classes, as for example for listed assets ESG-database -type resources are available, and in non-listed assets such as real estate and forestry, where more hands-on expert assessments are needed.

- (B) We assessed the sector context of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- (C) We assessed the human rights performance of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- (D) We monitored severe and emerging human rights controversies to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- (E) We took other steps to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities

Specify:

Our forest funds certify all their forest holdings to FSC and /or PEFC sustainable forest management certification that set strict criteria also fore social sustainability. This is done to manage and mitigate any possible negative impacts of the forestry activities on people. In our forestry funds we report future forest management activities to local neighbors and stakeholders in advance, so that if they wish, they can contact us and discuss the potential impacts.

Explain how these activities were conducted:

As part of complying with the regulatory, FSC and PEFC certification criteria on social impacts, for example the information regarding forest management activities is published in a public web portal in advance, and the local neighbors and stakeholders can contact United Bankers if they wish to discuss any potential impacts.

- (F) We did not identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.1	PLUS	PGS 49	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, which stakeholder groups did your organisation include when identifying and taking action on the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

- (A) Workers
- (B) Communities
 - Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included
 - (1) Energy
 - (2) Materials
 - (3) Industrials
 - (4) Consumer discretionary
 - (5) Consumer staples
 - (6) Healthcare
 - (7) Finance
 - (8) Information technology
 - (9) Communication services
 - (10) Utilities
 - (11) Real estate
 - (C) Customers and end-users
 - (D) Other stakeholder groups

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.2	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what information sources did your organisation use to identify the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to its investment activities?

(A) Corporate disclosures

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used together with other materials in due diligence and in portfolio monitoring for non-listed listed assets

(B) Media reports

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used together with other materials in due diligence and in portfolio monitoring for non-listed listed assets

(C) Reports and other information from NGOs and human rights institutions

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used together with other materials in due diligence and in portfolio monitoring for non-listed listed assets

(D) Country reports, for example, by multilateral institutions, e.g. OECD, World Bank

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Used together with other materials in due diligence and in portfolio monitoring for non-listed listed assets

(E) Data provider scores or benchmarks

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Sustainalytics & Morningstar ESG-database was used in due diligence and in portfolio monitoring of listed assets

(F) Human rights violation alerts

(G) Sell-side research

(H) Investor networks or other investors

(I) Information provided directly by affected stakeholders or their representatives

(J) Social media analysis

(K) Other

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 50	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, did your organisation, directly or through influence over investees, enable access to remedy for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to your investment activities?

(A) Yes, we enabled access to remedy directly for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we caused or contributed to through our investment activities

(B) Yes, we used our influence to ensure that our investees provided access to remedies for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we were linked to through our investment activities

Describe:

In 2023, United Bankers opened its whistleblowing channel in compliance with UNGP. In case of detecting for example UNGP breaches that would indicate potential negative human rights outcomes, we would seek to initiate engagement or dialogue with the investee in question and push for ensuring access to remedy. However, in 2023 we didn't detect such UNGP breaches in our portfolio monitoring.

- (C) No, we did not enable access to remedy directly, or through the use of influence over investees, for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to our investment activities during the reporting year

LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1
Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?						
(2) Active - quantitative			(3) Active - fundamental			
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors			(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM	
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors			(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period			(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM	
(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion			<input type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>	
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors			<input type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>	

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1
Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?						
(2) Active - quantitative				(3) Active - fundamental		
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses						
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses		(1) for all of our AUM			(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion			○		○	
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies			○		○	

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1
How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?						
(1) Active - quantitative				(2) Active - fundamental		
(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process			(1) in all cases		(1) in all cases	
(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process			(1) in all cases		(1) in all cases	
(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process			(1) in all cases		(1) in all cases	
(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes			○		○	

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1
What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?						
(2) Active - quantitative				(3) Active - fundamental		
(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors		(1) in all cases			(1) in all cases	
(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors		(1) in all cases			(1) in all cases	
(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability		(1) in all cases			(1) in all cases	
(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors		(1) in all cases			(1) in all cases	
(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process		○			○	

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1
How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?						
				(2) Active - quantitative	(3) Active - fundamental	
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process				(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process				(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process				(1) for all of our AUM		(1) for all of our AUM
(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process						
(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors				<input type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 17.1 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks**
- (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening**
- (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

(1) Active - quantitative

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings

(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents

(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities

(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents

(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion

(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 17 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

REAL ESTATE (RE)

POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 1	CORE	OO 21, OO 24, OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach to real estate depending on use (e.g. retail and education) and geography
- (D) Guidelines on our ESG approach to standing real estate investments
- (E) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value creation efforts
- (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (I) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to third-party property managers
- (J) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to tenants
- (K) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to construction contractors
- (L) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover real estate-specific ESG guidelines

FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters, or other constitutive fund documents?

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon a client's request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon a client's request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3	CORE	OO 21	RE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments?

(A) We assessed ESG materiality for each property, as each case is unique

Select from dropdown list:

(1) for all of our potential real estate investments

- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(B) We performed a mix of property level and property type or category level ESG materiality analysis

(C) We assessed ESG materiality at the property type or category level only

(D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analysis for our potential real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 3.1	CORE	RE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential real estate investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used GRESB Materiality Assessment (RC7) or similar to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We used green building certifications to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (I) We engaged with the existing owners and/or managers (or developers for new properties) to inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis
- (J) Other

DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence your selection of real estate investments?

(A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate

(F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential real estate investments?

(A) We conduct a high-level or desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target properties

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments

- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(D) We conduct site visits

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analysis and/or engagement

(G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our potential real estate investments**
- (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments

(I) Other

(J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential real estate investments

SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

SELECTION PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 6	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Selection process of third-party property managers	1, 4

During the reporting year, how did you include material ESG factors in all of your selections of third-party property managers?

- (A) We requested information from potential third-party property managers on their overall approach to material ESG factors**
- (B) We requested track records and examples from potential third-party property managers on their management of material ESG factors**
- (C) We requested information from potential third-party property managers on their engagement process(es) with stakeholders**
- (D) We requested documentation from potential third-party property managers on their responsible procurement practices, including responsibilities, approach and incentives**

(E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential third-party property managers

(F) Other

(G) We did not include material ESG factors in our selection of third-party property managers

APPOINTMENT PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 7	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Appointment process of third-party property managers	1, 4

How did you include material ESG factors when appointing your current third-party property managers?

(A) We set dedicated ESG procedures in all relevant property management phases

Select from dropdown list:

(1) for all of our third-party property managers

(2) for a majority of our third-party property managers

(3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(B) We set clear ESG reporting requirements

Select from dropdown list:

(1) for all of our third-party property managers

(2) for a majority of our third-party property managers

(3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(C) We set clear targets on material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list:

(1) for all of our third-party property managers

(2) for a majority of our third-party property managers

(3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(D) We set incentives related to targets on material ESG factors

(E) We included responsible investment clauses in property management contracts

Select from dropdown list:

(1) for all of our third-party property managers

(2) for a majority of our third-party property managers

(3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(F) Other

(G) We did not include material ESG factors in the appointment of third-party property managers

MONITORING PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 8	CORE	OO 26	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring process of third-party property managers	1, 4

How do you include material ESG factors when monitoring current third-party property managers?

(A) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material environmental factors

Select from dropdown list:

(1) for all of our third-party property managers

(2) for a majority of our third-party property managers

(3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(B) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material social factors

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our third-party property managers
- (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
- (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(C) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material governance factors

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our third-party property managers
- (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
- (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(D) We monitor progress reports on engagement with tenants

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our third-party property managers
- (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
- (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(E) We require formal reporting at least yearly

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our third-party property managers
- (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
- (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(F) We have discussions about material ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders at least yearly

(G) We conduct a performance review of third-party property managers against targets on material ESG factors and/or a financial incentive structure linked to material ESG factors

(H) We have internal or external parties conduct site visits at least yearly

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our third-party property managers
- (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers
- (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers

(I) Other

(J) We do not include material ESG factors in the monitoring of third-party property managers

POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 11	CORE	OO 21	RE 11.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your real estate investments?

(A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors

Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors

Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors

Percentage of real estate assets this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%
- (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 12	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, what ESG building performance data did you collect for your real estate assets?

(A) Energy consumption

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(B) Water consumption

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(C) Waste production

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(D) Other

Specify:

Renewable Energy Usage

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

- (E) We did not collect ESG building performance data for our real estate assets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 13	CORE	OO 21, OO 26	RE 13.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your real estate investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

- (B) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio

(C) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets
- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets

- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(D) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures

(E) We collaborate and engage with our third-party property managers and/or tenants to develop action plans

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets

- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(F) We develop minimum health and safety standards

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets

- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(G) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate assets

- (2) for a majority of our real estate assets
- (3) for a minority of our real estate assets

(H) Other

○ (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our real estate investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 14	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period?

(A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments

- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(B) We review our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments

- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments

- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our real estate investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments

- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments

- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(D) Other

○ (E) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 17	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

What proportion of your real estate assets has obtained a green or sustainable building certification?

- (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification

- (B) A majority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification

- (C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification

- (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

UB real estate funds have a goal to increase the number of certified assets in their portfolios. This is done for example by certifying existing assets that have not been previously certified.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 18	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stakeholder engagement	1, 2

How does your third-party property manager(s) engage with tenants?

(A) They engage with real estate tenants on energy, water consumption and/or waste production

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our buildings or properties**
- (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties
- (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties

(B) They engage with real estate tenants by organising tenant events focused on increasing sustainability awareness, ESG training and guidance

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our buildings or properties
- (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties**
- (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties

(C) They engage with real estate tenants by offering green leases

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our buildings or properties**
- (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties
- (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties

(D) They engage with real estate tenants by identifying collaboration opportunities that support targets related to material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our buildings or properties
- (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties**
- (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties

(E) They engage with real estate tenants by offering shared financial benefits from equipment upgrades

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our buildings or properties
- (2) for a majority of our buildings or properties**
- (3) for a minority of our buildings or properties

(F) Other

(G) Our third-party property manager(s) do not engage with tenants

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 20	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of real estate investments?

(A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD or GRESB

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)

(E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment of the property(s)

(F) Key ESG performance data on the property(s) being sold

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our real estate investments
- (2) for a majority of our real estate investments
- (3) for a minority of our real estate investments

(G) Other

(H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of real estate investments during the reporting year

(I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
RE 21	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report on your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

(A) We reported through a publicly disclosed sustainability report

(B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors

(C) We reported at the property level through formal reporting to investors

(D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)

(E) We reported at digital or physical events or meetings with investors

(F) We had a process in place to ensure that serious ESG incidents were reported

(G) Other

- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)

SETTING TARGETS AND TRACKING PROGRESS

SETTING TARGETS ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 1	PLUS	PGS 48	SO 2, SO 2.1, SO 3	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities has your organisation taken action on?

(A) Sustainability outcome #1

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

(1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets

(2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement

(3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

(4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

(5) The EU Taxonomy

(6) Other relevant taxonomies

(7) The International Bill of Human Rights

(8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions

(9) The Convention on Biological Diversity

(10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

(2) Classification of sustainability outcome

(1) Environmental

(2) Social

(3) Governance-related

(4) Other

(3) Sustainability outcome name

Climate change mitigation

(4) Number of targets set for this outcome

(1) No target

(2) One target

(3) Two or more targets

(B) Sustainability outcome #2

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

(1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets

(2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement

(3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

(4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

(5) The EU Taxonomy

(6) Other relevant taxonomies

(7) The International Bill of Human Rights

(8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions

(9) The Convention on Biological Diversity

(10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

(2) Classification of sustainability outcome

- (1) Environmental
- (2) Social
- (3) Governance-related
- (4) Other

(3) Sustainability outcome name

Low human rights risks

(4) Number of targets set for this outcome

- (1) No target
- (2) One target
- (3) Two or more targets

(C) Sustainability outcome #3

(D) Sustainability outcome #4

(E) Sustainability outcome #5

(F) Sustainability outcome #6

(G) Sustainability outcome #7

(H) Sustainability outcome #8

(I) Sustainability outcome #9

(J) Sustainability outcome #10

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 2	PLUS	SO 1	SO 2.1, SO 4, SO 5	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1

For each sustainability outcome, provide details of up to two of your nearest-term targets.

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Climate change mitigation

(1) Target name GHG emissions of UB investments, Scope 3-15

(2) Baseline year 2023

(3) Target to be met by 2030

(4) Methodology PCAF aligned GHG accounting in line with SBTi requirements

(5) Metric used (if relevant) tCO2e

(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant) (1) Absolute

(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):

(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)

(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting 75%

(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this? (2) No

(A2) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A2) Sustainability Outcome #1: Climate change mitigation

(1) Target name UB organization own emissions scopes 1-2

(2) Baseline year 2023

(3) Target to be met by 2030

(4) Methodology PCAF aligned GHG accounting in line with SBTi requirements

(5) Metric used (if relevant) tCO2e

(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant) (1) Absolute

(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):

(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)

(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting 100%

(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this? (2) No

(B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details

(B1) Sustainability Outcome #2: Low human rights risks

(1) Target name

(2) Baseline year

(3) Target to be met by

(4) Methodology

(5) Metric used (if relevant)

(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)

(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):

(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)

(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting

(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this?

(B2) Sustainability Outcome #2: Target details

(B2) Sustainability Outcome #2: Low human rights risks

(1) Target name

(2) Baseline year

(3) Target to be met by

(4) Methodology

(5) Metric used (if relevant)

(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)

(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):

(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)

(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting

(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this?

TRACKING PROGRESS AGAINST TARGETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 4	PLUS	SO 2	SO 4.1	PUBLIC	Tracking progress against targets	1

Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcomes targets?

(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:

(A1) Sustainability outcome #1: Climate change mitigation

Target name: GHG emissions of UB investments, Scope 3-15

Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?

(A2) Sustainability outcome #1:

(A2) Sustainability outcome #1: Climate change mitigation

Target name: UB organization own emissions scopes 1-2

Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLABORATIVE INVESTOR ACTION ON OUTCOMES

LEVERS USED TO TAKE ACTION ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 5	PLUS	SO 2	Multiple	PUBLIC	Levers used to take action on sustainability outcomes	1, 2, 5

During the reporting year, which of the following levers did your organisation use to take action on sustainability outcomes, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Stewardship with investees, including engagement, (proxy) voting, and direct influence with privately held assets
 Select from drop down list:
 (1) Individually
 (2) With other investors or stakeholders
 (B) Stewardship: engagement with external investment managers
 (C) Stewardship: engagement with policy makers
 (D) Stewardship: engagement with other key stakeholders
 (E) Capital allocation
 (F) Our organisation did not use any of the above levers to take action on sustainability outcomes during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP WITH INVESTEES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 8	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship with investees	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation use stewardship with investees to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

(1) Describe your approach	Climate change mitigation
(2) Stewardship tools or activities used	(1) Engagement (2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings (7) Working directly with portfolio companies and/or real asset management teams
(3) Example	

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1: Climate change mitigation

(1) Describe your approach

(2) Stewardship tools or activities used

(3) Example

(C) Sustainability Outcome #2:

(C) Sustainability Outcome #2: Low human rights risks

(1) Describe your approach

(2) Stewardship tools or activities used

(3) Example

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- (E) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- (F) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
 - Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - (1) the entire report
 - (2) selected sections of the report
 - (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year